



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,593	02/15/2001	Scott James Bennett	AUS920010006US1	5662
35525	7590	05/17/2004	EXAMINER	
DUKE W. YEE CARSTENS, YEE & CAHOON, L.L.P. P.O. BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380			GOLINKOFF, JORDAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	6

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/784,593

Applicant(s)

BENNETT ET AL.

Examiner

Jordan S Golinkoff

Art Unit

2174

**All Participants:**(1) Jordan S Golinkoff.(2) Stephen J. Walder, Jr.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2004**Time:** 4:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant faxed a preliminary draft of proposed amendment to examiner.***Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 21, 31-33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Kristine Kincaid*KRISTINE KINCAID  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner recommended changing the claim language of above claims to more accurately claim the invention as described in the specification. Specifically, examiner suggested clarifying the relationship between the window manager proxy and the java desktop in claims 1, 11, and 21. For claims 31-33, examiner recommended amending claims to be similar in scope to amended claims 1, 11, and 21. Examiner reviewed a preliminary draft of the proposed amendment and this draft appeared to place this application in a condition for allowance.